

**JUL 27 2006**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

FIDELIA ESTRADA CUEVAS; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 03-73044

Agency Nos. A78-112-097  
A70-777-371

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: ALARCON, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Fidelia Estrada Cuevas and Ignacio Morales Ortiz, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order affirming without opinion an immigration judge's (IJ) decision denying their

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

application for cancellation of removal. Petitioners' contention that they met the "good moral character" and continuous physical presence requirements for cancellation of removal is unavailing because the IJ's decision was based solely on a finding that they had not demonstrated the requisite degree of hardship. *See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 889 (9th Cir.2003) (listing statutory requirements for relief). We lack jurisdiction to review that discretionary determination. *See id.* at 891-92.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**